

Exhibit 22:

The following 1 page is submitted as an Exhibit for 'Plaintiff's Memo, Presenting Details of his Complaint'.

Description of Exhibit Contents:

This is Plaintiff's Appeal (dated March 17, 2013) to the Response by Defendant FAA for FOIA #2013-1654. It consists of a 1-page letter.

28242 S. Salo Rd., Mulino, OR 97042

tel. (971) 295-7669

Sunday, March 17 2013

Federal Aviation Administration
AFN-1, Asst. Administrator for Finance and Management
800 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20591

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please accept this letter as an **Appeal requesting reconsideration** of the Agency response to my FOIA request 2013-1654WP.

This should be a very simple FOIA Appeal response. The 34-page FOIA response, which I received on 2/25/13, was signed by AWP Acting Administrator David Suomi. It appears to be fairly complete and actually had very few redactions. However, it did include too many redactions that, by context, were clearly inappropriate for the cited FOIA Exemption #6. Specifically, the names of FAA and Union (NATCA) officials, functioning in an official (non-personal) capacity, are NOT properly redacted using FOIA Exemption #6; there is NO personal privacy value in names of officials, names of facilities, numbers used to file documents, and other 'non-personal' data.

For this FOIA Appeal, I ask that you please conform with the FOIA Appeal Timeline and promptly produce all responsive pages, with only the grievant's name/signature redacted. All other content needs to be produced.

Thank you, and I await your response.

Sincerely,



Jeff Lewis